

measures to be implemented under the umbrella of the company's "environmental principles." The measures would be developed under the following general categories:

1. Riparian Management Areas.

Conservation measures would be developed to regulate activities in riparian areas. Such measures would address habitat needs by providing adequate wood for habitat complexity, adequate canopy cover for temperature management, and adequate filtration for the prevention of sediment delivery to streams.

2. Forest Road Management.

Sediment from forest roads is recognized as having the potential to significantly impact fish habitat. Conservation measures would be developed to minimize the delivery of sediment from forest roads to streams.

3. Grazing. Livestock grazing (primarily cattle) occurs on over 40% of Plum Creek ownership in the planning area. Intensive grazing on many of these acres has occurred annually for decades, and for over a century in some locations. Conservation measures would be developed to manage riparian impacts resulting from grazing.

4. Land-Use Planning. Plum Creek owns property in the planning area that may ultimately have long-term uses other than forestry. Plum Creek also buys and sells land in the planning area. Land Use Planning measures would be developed to mitigate the impacts of future development or land ownership adjustments.

5. Legacy Management and Other Restoration Opportunities. On Plum Creek ownership, the legacy impacts of a variety of past management activities may have a greater bearing on fish habitat health than current practices under well-informed land-management policies and regulations. Restoration and legacy-management projects designed to remove threats to fish habitat may be identified as a part of this Plan.

6. Administration and Implementation. Plum Creek would initiate a program to monitor significant elements of the Plan and develop a program to inform and educate contractors and employees on standards and practices to be implemented.

Monitoring and Adaptive Management

As currently envisioned, the Plan would incorporate active adaptive management features, including watershed analysis. Research and monitoring would help determine the effectiveness of the Plan, validate models used to develop the Plan, and provide the basic information used to

implement "mid-course corrections" if necessary.

Dated: December 8, 1997.

Thomas Dwyer,

Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. 97-32512 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Request for Public Comments on Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

A request revising and extending the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related forms may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's Clearance Officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirement should be made within 30 days directly to the Desk Officer for the Interior Department, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 and to the Bureau Clearance Officer, U.S. Geological Survey, 807 National Center, Reston, VA 20192.

As required by OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), the U.S. Geological Survey solicits specific public comments regarding the proposed information collection as to:

1. Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the bureau, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the bureau's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. The utility, quality, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,
4. How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

Title: Lime.

Current OMB approval number: 1032-0038.

Abstract: Respondents supply the U.S. Geological Survey with domestic

production, values, end-use data, and capacity information on the domestic lime industry. This information will be published as an Annual Report for use by Government agencies, industry, and the general public.

Bureau form number: 6-1221-A.

Frequency: Annual.

Description of respondents:

Commercial and captive producers of quicklime, hydrated lime, and dead-burned dolomite.

Annual Responses: 110.

Annual burden hours: 165.

Bureau clearance officer: John E. Cordyack, Jr., 703-648-7313.

John H. DeYoung, Jr.,

Acting Chief Scientist, Minerals Information Team.

[FR Doc. 97-32514 Filed 12-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-31-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Request for Public Comments on Information Collection To Be Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

A request revising and extending the collection of information listed below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related forms may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's Clearance Officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirement should be made within 30 days directly to the Desk Officer for the Interior Department, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington DC 20503 and to the Bureau Clearance Officer, U.S. Geological Survey, 807 National Center, Reston, VA 20192.

As required by OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), the U.S. Geological Survey solicits specific public comments regarding the proposed information collection as to:

1. Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the bureau, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the bureau's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. The utility, quality, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,